

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES BEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BO 1450 Alexandre Victima 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FI		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/710,910 08/12/200		08/12/2004	Christian D. Hofstader	1589.10	3967
21901	7590	02/24/2006	•	EXAMINER	
SMITH &	HOPEN	PA	MUHEBBULLAH, SAJEDA		
15950 BA SUITE 22	Y VISTA I)	DRIVE	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEARW	ATER, FL	33760	2174		
			DATE MAILED: 02/24/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)						
	Office Action Commons	10/710,910		HOFSTADER ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit						
		Sajeda Muhe	bbullah	2174						
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	pears on the co	ver sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress					
WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLICATION OF THE MAILING DISTRICT OF THE MAILIN	DATE OF THIS 136(a). In no event, I will apply and will ex le, cause the applicati	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be timpire SIX (6) MONTHS from to become ABANDONE	. ety filed the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C.§ 133).						
Status										
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 L	December 2005	5 .							
• • • •	This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.									
3)□	<i>,</i> —									
,_	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.									
Dispositi	on of Claims									
4)⊠	○ Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the application.									
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.									
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.									
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.									
7)										
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.									
Applicati	on Papers									
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc	cepted or b)	objected to by the E	Examiner.						
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).									
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ction is required	if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).					
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.										
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119									
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 										
2) 🔲 Notic 3) 🔲 Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 r No(s)/Mail Date	4) 5) 6)	Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa	te	D-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/710,910 Page 2

Art Unit: 2174

DETAILED ACTION

1. This communication is responsive to Amendment filed 12/23/2005.

2. Claims 1-24 are pending in this application. Claims 1, 7, and 17-20 have been amended, and claims 22-24 have been added. This action is made Final.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

4. Claims 1-9, 17-21 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Raman et al. ("Raman", US 5,572,625).

As per claim 1, Raman teaches a screen reader software product comprising:

a screen reader module communicatively coupled with resident software on a computer, the reader module adapted to collect textual and non-textual display information generated by the resident software (col.4, lines 21-38);

a broadcast module communicatively coupled to the reader module, the broadcast module adapted to communicate the display information collected by the reader module to an output device (col.4, lines 46-55); and

a schema module communicatively coupled to the broadcast module, the schema module adapted to send non-textual display information with associated textual display information to the output device in substantially concurrent fashion (col.3, lines 44-50).

As per claim 2, Raman teaches the output device to be a speech synthesizer (col.4, lines 50-55).

As per claim 3, Raman teaches the software product wherein the non-textual display information is selected from the group consisting of font format, paragraph format, bulleting, numbering, borders, shading, column format, page breaks, section breaks, tab settings, table structure, image data, case settings, comment field locations, hyperlink settings, data entry forms, and graphic user interface configuration (col.15, lines 10-20; col.20, lines 66-67; col.22, lines 15-17).

As per claim 4, Raman teaches the software product wherein the schema module modifies the broadcast of the textual display information to communicate the non-textual display information by altering characteristics of the speech synthesizer, the characteristics selected from the group consisting of pitch, speed, volume, emphasis, simulated gender, simulated accent, simulated age, and pronunciation (col.18, lines 49-55; col.21, lines 20-21).

As per claim 5, Raman teaches the software product wherein the schema module includes an additional audio output layer to the broadcast of the textual display information to audibly communicate the non-textual display information in substantially concurrent fashion with the synthesized text (col.3, lines 3-7).

As per claim 6, Raman teaches the software product wherein the additional audio output layer broadcasts a pre-selected sound associated with the non-textual display information (col.15, lines 10-20, predetermined rules decide the sound associated with non-textual information).

As per claim 7, Raman teaches the software product wherein the pre-selected sound is end-user-definable (col.9, lines 35-46).

As per claim 8, Raman teaches the software product wherein pre-selected sound is selected from the group consisting of dynamically generated sound and prerecorded digital audio (col.9, lines 35-41).

As per claim 9, Raman teaches the software product wherein the schema module includes a plurality of additional audio outputs layer to the broadcast of the textual display information to audibly communicate a corresponding plurality of non-textual display information in substantially concurrent fashion with the synthesized text (col.3, lines 3-7; col.15, lines 18-20).

As per claim 17, Raman teaches a screen reader software product comprising:

a screen reader module communicatively coupled with resident software on a personal computer, the reader module adapted to collect textual and non-textual display information generated by the resident software (col.4, lines 21-38),

the non-textual display information is selected from the group consisting of font format, paragraph format, bulleting, numbering, borders, shading, column format, page breaks, section breaks, tab settings, table structure, image data, case settings, comment field locations, hyperlink settings, data entry forms, and graphic user interface configuration (col.15, lines 10-20; col.20, lines 66-67; col.22, lines 15-17);

a broadcast module communicatively coupled to the reader module, the broadcast module adapted to communicate the display information collected by the reader module to speech synthesizer (col.4, lines 46-55); and

an end-user-definable schema module communicatively coupled to the broadcast module, the schema module adapted to send non-textual display information with associated textual display information to the output device in substantially concurrent fashion whereby the schema

module modifies the broadcast of the textual display information to communicate the non-textual display information by altering characteristics of the speech synthesizer (col.3, lines 44-50),

the characteristics selected from the group consisting of pitch, speed, volume, emphasis, simulated gender, simulated accent, simulated age, and pronunciation (col.18, lines 49-55; col.21, lines 20-21).

As per claim 18, Raman teaches a screen reader software product comprising:

a screen reader module communicatively coupled with resident software on a personal computer, the reader module adapted to collect textual and non-textual display information generated by the resident software (col.4, lines 21-38),

the non-textual display information is selected from the group consisting of font format, paragraph format, bulleting, numbering, borders, shading, column format, page breaks, section breaks, tab settings, table structure, image data, case settings, comment field locations, hyperlink settings, data entry forms, and graphic user interface configuration (col.15, lines 10-20; col.20, lines 66-67; col.22, lines 15-17);

a broadcast module communicatively coupled to the reader module, the broadcast module adapted to communicate the display information collected by the reader module to speech synthesizer (col.4, lines 46-55); and

an end-user-definable schema module communicatively coupled to the broadcast module, the schema module adapted to send non-textual display information with associated textual display information to the output device in substantially concurrent fashion (col.3, lines 44-50) whereby the schema module includes an additional audio output layer (col.3, lines 3-7) to the broadcast of the textual display information to audibly communicate the non-textual display

information as an end-user-definable pre-selected sound selected from the group consisting of dynamically generated sound and prerecorded digital audio in substantially concurrent fashion with the synthesized text (col.9, lines 35-46).

Claim 19 is similar in scope to claim 7, and is therefore rejected under similar rationale.

As per claim 20, Raman teaches the software product wherein a plurality of end-user schema definitions are assignable to specific resident software applications (col. 19, lines 27-38).

As per claim 21, Raman teaches the software product wherein end-user schema definitions generated by an end user are shareable with other users (col. 19, lines 27-38, rules can be shared to other users through a file).

As per claim 23, Raman teaches the software product wherein the non-textual display information is style information (col.20, lines 7-10).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 10-13, 15 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raman et al. ("Raman", US 5,572,625) in view of Giuliani et al. ("Giuliani", US 2002/0105496).

As per claim 10, Raman teaches outputting of textual and non-textual display information. However, Raman does not teach the output device to be a Braille display. Giuliani teaches the output of textual and non-textual display information to be a Braille display (para.3, lines 1-4; para.18, line 4; para.21, lines 9-11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary

skill in the art at the time of the invention to include Giuliani's teaching with Raman's product in order to allow the blind the opportunity to read and determine the attributes associated with text via different senses.

As per claim 11, Giuliani teaches the software product wherein the non-textual display information is selected from the group consisting of font format, paragraph format, bulleting, numbering, borders, shading, column format, page breaks, section breaks, tab settings, table structure, image data, case settings, comment field locations, hyperlink settings, data entry forms, and graphic user interface configuration (para.42, lines 6-9; para.43).

As per claim 12, Giuliani teaches the software product wherein the schema module modifies the broadcast of the textual display information to communicate the non-textual display information by altering tactile characteristics of the Braille display (para.42-43).

As per claim 13, Giuliani teaches the software product wherein the tactile characteristics of the Braille displayed modified by the schema module are selected from the group consisting of display speed, pin protrusion level, pin retraction level and pin vibration (para.42-43).

As per claim 15, Raman teaches the software product of claim 1 wherein the output device is a speech synthesizer. However, Raman does not disclose the output device to be an array of a speech synthesizer and a Braille display, the speech synthesizer audibly broadcasts textual display information and the Braille display tactically outputs non-textual display information in substantially concurrent fashion. Giuliani teaches the output of textual and non-textual display information to be on a Braille display (para.3, lines 1-4; para.18, line 4; para.21, lines 9-11). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the

invention to combine Giuliani's teaching with Raman's product in order to allow blind users the opportunity to listen to and visualize the display through touch.

As per claim 24, Raman teaches software product of claim 23 wherein the non-textual display information is style information (Raman, col.20, lines 7-10). However, Raman does not explicitly teach the style information to be selected from the group consisting of bold, italics, underline and font color. Giuliani teaches the output of non-textual display information to consist of bold text (para.42). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to combine Giuliani's teaching with Raman's product in order to enhance the user's reading experience.

7. Claims 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raman et al. ("Raman", US 5,572,625) in view of Burchart ("Burchart", US 4,836,784).

As per claim 14, Raman teaches the software product of claim 1 to communicate textual and non-textual display information to an output device. However, Raman does not teach the output device to be an array of two Braille displays, a first Braille display outputs textual display information and a second Braille display outputs non-textual display information in substantially concurrent fashion. Burchart teaches the output of both textual information and graphics on an array of Braille displays (Fig.2-6; col.5, lines 38-64; claim1). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate Burchart's teaching with Raman's product in order to communicate graphic displays in addition to textual information to accommodate the blind and thereby enhancing the viewing experience of the blind user (Burchart, col.1, lines 25-34, 47-52).

8. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raman et al. ("Raman", US 5,572,625) in view of Rohen ("Rohen", US 5,186,629).

As per claim 16, Raman teaches outputting of textual and non-textual display information to a speech synthesizer. However, Raman does not teach the output device to be an array of a speech synthesizer and a vibratory apparatus, the speech synthesizer audibly broadcasts textual display information and the vibratory apparatus vibrates at pre-selected frequencies responsive to non-textual display information in substantially concurrent fashion. Rohen teaches an output device which audibly and tactilely outputs textual and non-textual display information respectively (col.6, lines 23-32; col.7, lines 7-16). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include Rohen's teaching with Raman's product in order to allow blind users the opportunity to visualize the display through the use of multiple sensory functions rather than solely by means of sound.

9. Claim 22 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Raman et al. ("Raman", US 5,572,625) in view of Carro (US 2004/0091842).

As per claim 22, Raman teaches non-textual display information to be inserted into documents using markup languages (Raman, col.4, lines 1-21). However, Raman does not explicitly teach the non-textual display information to be selected from the group consisting of hyperlink settings, data entry forms, and graphic user interface configuration. Carro teaches a method enabling blind users to detect hyperlinks through non-textual display information (para.57). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to include Carro's teaching with Raman's product in order to allow blind users an enhanced method of accessing web documents.

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments filed 12/23/05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argued the following:

a) Raman does not teach a screen reader software product with a reader module.

The Examiner disagrees for the following reasons:

Per a), according to the Applicant's arguments "Screen readers...are systems that output the contents of the computer screen...to a user, typically one who is blind...". Raman clearly teaches a screen reader which converts text from a computer display to speech intended for use by the visually impaired (col.1, lines 13-21) therefore a screen reader software product with a reader module is taught by Raman.

Conclusion

11. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/710,910

Art Unit: 2174

Communications

12. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sajeda Muhebbullah whose telephone number is (571) 272-4065. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday/Thursday or alt. Mondays from 8:00 am to 4:30 pm (EST).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kristine Kincaid, can be reached on (571) 272-4063.

The fax number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are as follows:

- (571) 273-8300 [After Final Communication]
- (571) 273-8300 [Official Communication]
- (571) 273-8300 [For status inquiries, Draft Communication]

Sajeda Muhebbullah Patent Examiner Art Unit 2174

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINATION
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100

Bristine Kincaid

Page 11